

Rules for Generics

A *generic type* is a type (class or interface) with one or more type parameters. Some common examples are:

List<E> a list (interface) containing elements of type E

ArrayList<E> an ArrayList (class) containing elements of type E

Map<K,V> a map with keys of type K and values of type V

The type parameter can be any valid Java variable name; by convention a single capital letter is generally used.

Instantiating a Generic Type

To create an instance of a generic type, you must supply a value for the type parameter(s). The value can be the name of an Interface, Class, or Enum. It cannot be a primitive.

Valid: `new ArrayList<Double>`, `new ArrayList<Comparable<Number>>`

Invalid: `new ArrayList<double>` (can't use a primitive as type param)

Type Erasure

When you create an instance of a generic class, Java does not create a new kind of class, such as `ArrayList<Double>`. (C++ creates separate classes for each type used in the type parameter, such as `ArrayList_Double`, `ArrayList_String`, etc.) Java erases the type parameter and substitutes casts and type checks in your code to force compliance.

The result is that no extra classes are created and there is no run-time overhead for using generics.

Implementing a Generic Interface

When you implement an interface with a type parameter, Java requires that you substitute the actual type for the type parameter. For example:

```
public interface Comparable<T> {
    public int compareTo(T obj);
}
```

If we implement `Comparable<Person>`:

```
public class Person implements Comparable<Person> {
    // substitute "Person" for "T"
    public int compareTo(Person obj) { /* compare Persons */ }
}
```

Writing your own generic type

You can define classes with type parameters, like you did in the `Stack` class. The syntax is:

```
public class Stack<T> {
    private List<T> elements;
    public Stack( )
    public void push(T obj) . . .
    public T pop( ) ...
}
```

as this example shows, you can use a generic type (T) as parameter, return type, or local variable type in your class.

However, you cannot create *instances* of a type parameter:

```
public Stack( ) {
    T [] array = new T[3]; // error
    elements = new ArrayList<T>( ); // error
```

instead, create elements using Object or some known supertype and *cast* them:

```
public Stack( ) {
    T [] array = (T[]) new Object[3];
    elements = (ArrayList<T>) new ArrayList<Object>( );
```

A Class's Type Parameter only applies to Instance Members

A class's type parameter can only be used on instance members, not static members. This is an error:

```
public class MyClass<T> {
    private static T arg; // error - static attribute
    public static void print(T a) // error - static method
```

Generic Methods

You can write generic static methods with their own type parameter. The type parameter comes after the modifiers (like public static). The syntax is:

```
public static <T> return_type methodName( . . . )
```

Generic static methods can be written in an ordinary class (without type on class).

Here's a static **reverse**() method that reverses elements in any array (except primitive types):

```
public static <E> void reverse(E[] array) {
    int size = array.length - 1;
    for(k=0; k < size/2; k++) {
        E temp = array[k];
        array[k] = array[size-k];
        array[size-k] = temp;
    }
}
```

Bounds on Type Parameters

A plain type parameter such as List<T> accepts any class, interface, or enum as a value for T. You can restrict (bound) the possible value for the type parameter using keywords **super** and **extends**.

(1) **extends**: T can only be types that implements **Runnable**:

```
class TaskRunner<T extends Runnable> {
    private T task;
    public void doit( ) {
        task.run( );
```

In this example we can invoke **task.run**() since task is type T and T is required be something that implements Runnable.

Here's a static **sum**() method to sum elements in a List of any numeric type:

```
public class MyUtils {
    public static <E extends Number> double sum(List<E> list) {
        int size = list.size();
```

```

    if (size == 0) return 0;
    return list.get(0).doubleValue() + sum(list.subList(1, size));
}

```

You can put **multiple bounds** on a type parameter by using **&**

```

class ObjectWriter <T extends Serializable & Cloneable> {
    // T must be a type that implements Serializable and Cloneable

```

If one of the bounds is a class then it must be specified first in the "extends" list:

```

class Foo { /* ordinary class */ }
class Bar<T extends Foo & Runnable>    // OK
class Bar<T extends Runnable & Foo>    // Error: "Foo" must be first

```

(2) **super** - require type parameter to be a superclass of a given type. This can only be used in conjunction with wildcards, as discussed below.

Type Wildcard ?

The ? is a wildcard type parameter. It means "any type", but can have bounds. In the CoinUtil class of the Purse application we have a method:

```

public static void sortByCurrency( List<Valuable> money )

```

if we try to call this method with a List<Coin> we get an error:

```

List<Coin> money =
    Arrays.asList(new Coin(5, "Baht"), new Coin(1, "Rupee"));
List<Coin> sorted = sortByCurrency(money);    // ERROR

```

because List<Coin> is not a subclass of List<Valuable> even though Coin implements Valuable. A solution is to use a wildcard meaning "List of anything that implements valuable".

```

public static void sortByCurrency( List<? extends Valuable> money )

```

Now sortByCurrency accepts a List of any objects that implement Valuable.

The "?" wildcard has a few uses.

1) the Set class has a method removeAll that removes all elements that are in the parameter collection:

```

public boolean removeAll(Collection<?> coll)

```

this means "a collection of any type of element".

2) ? is often used with a bound on collections. The Collections class has a fill method with a type parameter for the object to fill the collection:

```

public static <T> void fill(List<? super T> list, T obj)

```

For example, this enables us to use a Coin object to fill a collection of Valuable.

3) Consider this static sort method:

```

public static <E extends Comparable<E>> void sort(List<E> list)

```

it means "E can be any type that implements Comparable<itself>".

But what about a class that implements Comparable<some_superclass>?

For example, if `BigDecimal` implements `Comparable<Number>` than we would not be able to invoke the `sort` method using `List<BigDecimal>` as parameter. But all `sort` needs is for the type (E) to implement `Comparable` for some superclass of itself. Using wildcards we can write:

```
public static <E extends Comparable<? super E>> void sort(List<E> lst)
```

Another example is `Collections.binarySearch` (find an element in a sorted collection):

```
static <T> int binarySearch(List<? extends Comparable<? super T>> list, T key)
```

3) `max` combines `<? super T>` and `<? extends T>`

```
static <T extends Object & Comparable<? super T>> T  
    max(Collection<? extends T> coll)
```

Invoking Generic Methods

To invoke a generic method you usually don't have to specify the type parameter. The compiler will figure it out from context. If you write:

```
List<Double> list = ...  
double result = MyUtils.sum( list );
```

Java will infer that `E` must be `"Double"`.

However, you can explicitly specify the value of a generic method's type parameter using this ugly syntax:

```
double result = MyUtils.<Double>sum( list );
```

References

- *Object-Oriented Design and Patterns, 2E*, section 7.7
- Oracle *Java Tutorial*
- Langer's generics FAQ (info info about casting and subtypes involving type parameters)
<http://www.langer.camelot.de/GenericsFAQ/JavaGenericsFAQ.html>